

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,377	08/31/2001	Marco Johannes Christina Van Amelsvoort	9424.147USWO	9632
7:	590 01/23/200	•	EXAMINER	
Merchant & C	Gould		JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
	MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/787,377	VAN AMELSVOORT, MARCO <sup>\( \)</sup> JOHANNES CHRISTIN Art Unit				
•	Examiner	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Blair M. Johnson	orrespondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 C	October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-27 and 29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-27 and 29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/787,377

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 20,21,23-27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German 19537190.

'190 discloses a blind comprising vertical slats 10. One region 12 of the slats has perforations 18 for light transmission while the other region 14 is of a material which is designed to prevent people from looking through that portion, thereby indicating an ability to subdue light passage therethrough. While the upper portion in '190 is the light transmitting portion and the lower portion is the light blocking portion, which differs from the presently claimed device, one of ordinary skill in the art faced with Applicant's problem of blocking light at the top of the blind and permitting light through the bottom of the blind would have simply reversed the arrangement of these two portions. In other words, the structure is provided by '190. The tailoring of this structure to achieve specific objectives in window shading would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art. It is clear that the portion which has perforations for the purpose of allowing light to be transmitted therethrough would permit human viewing therethrough at least to some extent.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German patent '109 as applied above, and further in view of Levert.

Levert discloses window blinds which have portions which permit light transmission therethrough. Levert further equates perforations with "partly translucent" material for this reason, column 2, line 37. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to modify German '190 whereby his perforated portion is instead made of a

Application/Control Number: 09/787,377

Art Unit: 3634

material which is partly translucent.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks concerning German '190 in anticipation of the above rejection, as suggested by the Examiner, is appreciated. However, the teaching of '190 is very strong and the simple rearrangement of the regions is considered obvious, as presented.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1020.

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

**BMJ**